

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

In the Matter of:)		
SM Quest Industries, Inc.)		
t/a N/A)	Case No.:	25-PRO-00092
)	License No.:	ABRA-128882
)	Order No.:	2025-1069
Applicant for a New)		
Medical Cannabis Retailer's License)		
at premises)		
1829 M Street, N.W.)		
Washington, D.C. 20036)		

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
Silas Grant, Jr., Member
Teri Janine Quinn, Member
Ryan Jones, Member
David Meadows, Member

PARTIES: SM Quest Industries, Inc., Applicant

Yutong Zhou, Esq., Counsel for Applicant

Alex Marshall, Commissioner, Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 2B, Protestant

ORDER DISMISSING THE PROTEST FILED BY ANC 2B

The Application filed by SM Quest Industries, Inc. (Applicant), for a New Medical Cannabis Retailer's License (including a Home Delivery Endorsement), having been protested by ANC 2B (Protestant), came before the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board) for a Roll Call Hearing on November 3, 2025.

At the Roll Call Hearing, pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.06i, the Board's Agent dismissed the Protestant ANC 2B because they failed to state valid grounds to protest a medical cannabis application.

ORDER

Therefore, the Board does hereby, this 5th day of November 2025, **DISMISSES** the Protest of ANC 2B.

Copies of this Order shall be sent to the Parties.

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board



Donovan Anderson, Chairperson



Silas Grant, Jr., Member



Teri Janine Quinn, Member



Ryan Jones, Member



David Meadows, Member

Any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision or other motion permitted by law within ten days of service of this Order. If a motion is filed, the opposing party may file a response within seven days. If a response is filed, the movant may file a reply within three days. All filings should be served on all parties to the matter and delivered to the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 4200-A, Washington, D.C. 20002, or sent by email to abca.legal@dc.gov. Parties are further advised that the failure to properly serve the other parties or to present all matters of record that have allegedly been erroneously decided in a motion for reconsideration may result in the waiver of those matters being considered by the Board. The Board also reserves the right to summarily deny or not consider multiple and repetitive motions.

In addition to filing a Motion for Reconsideration, pursuant to § 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, a party that is adversely affected may have the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within 30 days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, located at 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Parties are advised that the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. See D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).

Parties are also advised that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia may have jurisdiction to hear appeals in non-contested cases or in matters where that court is specifically provided jurisdiction by law. Finally, advisory neighborhood commissions (ANCs) are advised that their right to appeal or challenge a decision of the Board may be limited by the laws governing ANCs. See e.g., D.C. Code § 1-309.10.